



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/784,254	02/16/2001	Soichi Furuya	520.39632X00	6139
24956	7590 06/02/2005		EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.			ŢRAN, ELLEN C	
1800 DIAGON SUITE 370	NAL ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2134	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
I	09/784,254	FURUYA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ellen C. Tran	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 March 2005.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,13-20,25-32 and 37</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 1-8.13-20,25-32 and 37 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
i 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>Mar'05</u> . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	· — — · — · — · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

M

Application/Control Number: 09/784,254 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communication: amendment filed on 9 March 2005 with an original application filed 16 February 2001, with acknowledgement of foreign application date of 09 March 2000.

- 2. Amendments to the claims and abstract are accepted. Claims 1-8, 13-20, 25-32, and 37 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1, 13, 25, and 37 are independent claims.
- 3. The arguments presented in the amendment are moot due to new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, a computer program. To overcome this 101 rejection applicant needs to amend the independent claim 1 so that a computer is performing the symmetric-key encryption method.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-8, 13-20, 25-32, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The steps that include: 'a counter and the two pseudo-random number sequences, as well as concatenates the series of ciphertext blocks one

Art Unit: 2134

after another sequentially' critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The subject matter is essential for an understanding of the claimed invention because the claims as written do not provide one an understanding how to use the invention, for example the operation(s), are not defined, in addition it is not clear how many operations are performed, and there is no clear understanding of the order that the operation(s) and encryption are performed.

In the step "outputting a feedback value obtained as a result of operation on said one of said plurality of plaintext blocks and said random number block" the "operation" is not described in the claims or understandable in light of the specification.

Furthermore, in the step "said feedback value being fed back for use in the operation on another one of said plurality of plaintext blocks" because the operation is not described, in addition there is no clear understanding which plaintext block(s) the operation will be performed on, likewise there is no distinction if the operation occurs one, two, or three times.

Furthermore the step of "performing an encryption operation using said one of the plurality of plaintext blocks, said random number block, and said feedback value obtained as a result of operation on still another one of said plurality of plaintext block to produce a ciphertext block" is not operative, because the feedback operations (first, second, third?) are not well defined, in addition there is not clear understanding which plaintext block(s) the encryption operation will be performed. It appears that many errors in translation have occurred throughout claims as well as specification. After reviewing the specification pages 23-29, it appears that

Application/Control Number: 09/784,254 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

more than one step essential to the operation of the method are either missing or recited in a different order than explained in the specification.

To overcome this portion of the 112 rejection all the independent claims need to be modified significantly so that missing steps are included, (i.e. counter, concatenates the series of ciphertext blocks one after another sequentially, two series of pseudo-random numbers, etc.).

- 8. Claims 1-8, 13-20, 25-32, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: two computers connected over a network, padding, a pseudo-random sequences, a counter, a series of ciphertext blocks, "concatenates the series of ciphertext blocks one after another sequentially", as well as a clear explanation of what "operation(s)" are referenced in the claims. It appears that many errors in translation have occurred throughout claims as well as specification. After reviewing the specification pages 23-29, it appears that more than one step described above are either missing or recited in a different order than explained in the specification.
- 9. To expedite a complete examination of the instant application the claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 (nonstatutory) as well as 35 U.S.C. 112 above are further rejected as set forth below in anticipation of applicant amending these claims to place them within the statutory categories of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2134

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language

Page 5

11. Claims 1-8, 13-20, 25-32, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shukla U.S. Patent No. 6,345,101 (hereinafter '101).

As to independent claim 1, "A symmetric-key encryption method comprising the steps of:" is taught in '101 col. 5, lines 26-32;

"dividing plaintext composed of redundancy data and a message to generate a plurality of plaintext blocks each having a predetermined length" is shown in '101 col. 3, lines 7-10;

"generating a random number sequence based on a secret key; generating a random number block corresponding to one of said plurality of plaintext blocks from said random number sequence; outputting a feedback value obtained as a result of operation on said one of said plurality of plaintext blocks and said random number block, said feedback value being fed back for use in the operation on another one of said plurality of plaintext blocks" is disclosed in '101 col. 8, lines 56-67;

"and performing an encryption operation using said one of the plurality of plaintext blocks, said random number block, and a feedback value obtained as a result of operation on still another one of the plurality of plaintext blocks to produce a ciphertext block" is taught in '101 col. 11, lines 25-35.

As to dependent claim 2, "wherein said encryption operation uses one or more said random number blocks whose total length is longer than a length of said ciphertext block" is shown in '101 col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 8.

As to dependent claim 3, "wherein said plaintext further includes secret data of a predetermined length" is disclosed in '101 col. 6, lines 9-23.

As to dependent claim 4, "wherein said encryption operation performs a binomial operation or a monomial operation using one of said plurality of plaintext blocks one or more times according to a predetermined procedure, combines a plurality of obtained ciphertext blocks, and outputs the combined plurality of ciphertext blocks as ciphertext" is taught in '101 col. col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 8.

As to dependent claim 5, "wherein said encryption operation includes multiplication and addition in a finite field" is shown in '101 col. 10, lines 52-67.

As to dependent claim 6, "wherein said encryption operation includes a combination of a cyclic shift operation and arithmetic multiplication" is disclosed in '101 col. 10, lines 52-67.

As to dependent claim 7, "wherein said symmetric-key encryption method employs a pseudorandom-number generating means for generating said random number sequence based on said secret key" is taught in '101 col. 8, lines 56-67, col. 5, lines 26-33, and col. 9, lines 34-42.

As to dependent claim 8, "further comprising steps of: dividing said message into a plurality of message blocks" is shown in '101 col. 3, lines 7-10;

Application/Control Number: 09/784,254

Art Unit: 2134

"generating a number of random number sequences equal to the number of said plurality of message blocks using said pseudorandom-number generating means; and" is disclosed in '101 col. 8, lines 56-67,

Page 7

"performing parallel processing by assigning said plurality of message blocks to one operation unit and assigning said number of random number sequences to another operation unit" is taught in '101 col. 4, lines 3-26.

As to independent claim 13, this claim is directed to the apparatus of the method of claim 1, and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

As to independent claim 25, this claim is directed to a medium storing a program of the method of claim 1, and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

As to independent claim 37, this claim is directed the program product of the method of claim 1, and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

As to dependent claims 14-20 and 26-32, these claims contain substantially similar subject matter as claims 2-8 and are rejected along the same rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/784,254

Art Unit: 2134

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Gilgor et al.

U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2001/0033656

Page 8

Davis

U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2004/0230799

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ellen C Tran whose telephone number is

(571) 272-3842. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gregory A Morse can be reached on (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ellen Tran
Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2134

23 May 2005

GREGORY MORSE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100